# Robust and Energy Efficient Dual Stage Membrane Based Process for Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> Recovery

#### **DE-FE0013064**

Dr. Richard J. Ciora, Jr, Media and Process Technology Inc.

•Dr. Paul KT Liu, Media and Process Technology Inc., Pittsburgh, PA
•Professor Theo T. Tsotsis, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
•Dr. Eric C. Wagner, Technip Stone & Webster Process Technology, Inc., Morovia, CA







U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Strategic Center for Coal's FY15 Carbon Capture Peer Review March 16-20, 2015



# M&P Dual Stage Membrane Process

## **Project Overview**

## <u>Overall Theme:</u>

- Use inorganic membrane technology advantages to achieve CCS goals.
- Move inorganic membrane technology from lab scale novelty to commercial reality.

### **Overall Project Objectives:**

- 1. Demonstrate the carbon molecular sieve membrane as a bulk  $H_2$  separator and to improve the efficiency of the WGS reactor
- 2. Demonstrate the Pd-alloy membrane for residual  $H_2$  recovery from "captured" high pressure  $CO_2$
- 3. Perform bench scale testing (equivalent to a syngas throughput for 0.01MWe power generator) of the innovative pre-combustion process scheme for power generation with  $CO_2$  capture and sequestration (CCS).
- 4. Key process components will be tested under simulated and real gasifier syngas conditions for their potential to effectively separate  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$ .
- 5. Collected data will be utilized to assess the potential of the concept for achieving the DOE Carbon Capture Program goal.



# M&P Dual Stage Membrane Process

**Project Overview** 

## **Funding:** Overall project budget: \$2.5MM including \$500,000 (20%) cost share

**Overall Project Performance Dates:** October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2016

## Project Participants:

- Media and Process Technology...Membrane manufacturer/supplier and technology developer
- University of Southern California...Membrane reactor testing, membrane model development
- Technip Stone and Webster Process Technology Inc...Engineering and system design, analysis and economics



APPROACH

## **Proposed Process Scheme and Key Components**





# **TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND**

Multiple Tube Membrane Bundles – versatile, low cost



#1: Packaging individual membrane tubes into commercially viable modules for field use.



Specific thin film deposition for advanced separations





# TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND

Membrane Bundles for Separations at High Temperature and Pressure

Multiple Tube Bundle Styles

**CMS** Membrane





**Dense Ceramic Tube Sheet (DCT-style)** Performance: >500°C; >1,000 psig Packing: 57-tube current and 71-prototypes, spaced pack



Dense alumina "tips" for Candlefilter **Potted Ceramic Glass (PCG-style)** Performance: ~300°C; <450 psig Packing: 86-tube, close pack



Pd-alloy Membrane



# TECHNOLOGY/PROCESS ADVANTAGES

## Dual Stage Membrane Process Advantages over SOTA

#### **Our Innovation**

- <u>CMS membrane</u> to enhance CO conversion efficiency with concomitant bulk H<sub>2</sub> recovery to improve power generation efficiency.
- <u>*Pd-alloy membrane for residual H*<sub>2</sub> *recovery* during the post compression of  $CO_2$  for CCUS to achieve the  $CO_2$  capture goals and fuel efficiency requirements.</u>

#### **Unique Advantages**

- *No syngas pretreatment required*. CMS membrane is stable in all of the gas contaminants associated with coal derived syngas.
- Improved CO conversion efficiency and bulk  $H_2$  separation. Separation of hydrogen as well as enhanced CO conversion from the raw syngas occurs at elevated temperatures at reduced steam requirement for the WGS reaction.
- *Reduced Gas Load to CGCU:* The proposed use of the CMS membrane with the WGS reactor results in substantial hydrogen and steam recovery, resulting in reduced stream size for the CGCU.
- *CCS Post Compression Power Reduction*: CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched gas is delivered to the CGCU at relatively high pressure reducing total compression load.
- *Enhanced residual H*<sub>2</sub> *recovery from the CCS stream to achieve the CO*<sub>2</sub> *recovery goals*. The Pd-alloy membrane is ideally suited to remove residual H<sub>2</sub> from the CCS stream to deliver the CO<sub>2</sub> purity and capture targets.



# CHALLENGES

## Dual Stage Membrane Process Advantages over SOTA (cont.)

#### **Our Solutions to the Well-known Deficiencies of A Membrane Process**

- *Bulk Separation Limitation*... Membranes are generally intended for bulk separation, usually not very efficient for fine separations. Our use of very high selectivity Pd-alloy membranes to supplement CMSM overcomes this deficiency to achieve the program goals.
- *High Cost of Pd Membranes*... Pd-based membranes are expensive and the worldwide supply is constrained considering commercially available technology. Our ceramic substrate and bundle designs permit thin films to overcome both of these problems.
- *Pd Membrane Stability*...The Pd-based membranes in this application is exposed to a  $H_2/CO_2$  stream after CGCU. Thus, chemical stability of the membrane is not an issue.



# Progress to Date on Key Technical Challenges

## **BP1 and BP2** Accomplishments

#### **BP1 Tasks Completed to Overcome Key Technical Challenges**

- CMS/Pd membrane operation meeting targets for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration and cost.
- Long term and other membrane performance stability
- Full-scale WGS-MR and membrane separator designs for mega-scale applications
- Updated membrane and membrane reactor modeling

#### **BP2** Tasks Underway/Completed to Overcome Key Technical Challenges

- Performance stability in actual gas testing (NCCC) with multiple tube bundles.
- Model verification in actual gas testing with multiple tube bundles.
- Long term membrane performance stability.
- Process design and techno-economic evaluation.
- Environmental, health and safety assessment.



# Project Technical Approach

## **Overview of Project Technical Approach - Workplan**

#### Budget Period 1

### Budget Period 2

#### Task 1. Project Management and Planning

Task 2. Establish Performance Database: Focus here is to complete the membrane performance database under more severe operating conditions in the presence of simulated WGS contaminants at long times. Also reactivate the bench top WGS-MR system for Task 3 activities.

Task 3. CMS WGS-MR experimental verification and modeling under extreme conditions: Focus here is lab scale testing of the CMS WGS-MR at gasifier conditions and includes model development/verification.

Task 4. Preparation of CMS for bench testing at NCCC: Focus here is design and fabrication of the pilot scale (86tube bundles) for process evaluation at the NCCC.

> Task 5. Preparation of Pd Module for  $2^{nd}$  Stage H<sub>2</sub> <u>Recovery for bench scale test at NCCC</u>: Focus here is design and fabrication of the pilot scale Pd module.

Task 6. NCCC Field Testing: Focus here is testing at the NCCC of the two stage process for demonstration and operational stability.

Task 7. Process Design and Engineering: Focus here is comprehensive process development and economic evaluation.

> Task 8. Conduct Environmental Health and Safety Analysis: Focus here is assessment of the environmental impact.

# **Progress and Current Status of Project**



Media and Process Technology Inc. (M&P) 1155 William Pitt Way Pittsburgh, PA 15238 - 1678



# PROGRESS: CMS Membranes

## **Typical Performance and Performance Targets**

#### CMS Single Tube Characterization

CMS Membrane Characteristic	Preliminary Target to Achieve DOE Goals <sup>1</sup>	Laboratory Single Tubes Performance
Permeance, H <sub>2</sub> [GPU] @ <b>250°C, 20 psig</b>	550	420 to 1,100
Selectivity, H <sub>2</sub> /X		
$H_2/N_2$	70	80 to >180
H <sub>2</sub> /CO	70	70 to >130
$H_2/CO_2$	35	35 to >65
$H_2/H_2S$	N/A <sup>2</sup>	$100 \text{ to } 150^2$
H <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	1.5	1.5 to 3

#### Notes:

- 1. Target performance is that required to achieve 90%  $CO_2$  capture at 95% purity with 95% fuel utilization (H<sub>2</sub> + CO to the turbine).
- 2. At this selectivity, approximately 200 ppm  $H_2S$  in the fuel to turbine.

#### CMS 86-Tube Bundle Characterization

CMS Bundle ID	He Permeance [GPU]	He/N <sub>2</sub> Selectivity [-]
86-6	731	100
86-7	1,020	187
86-8	658	91
86-9	950	102
86-10	365	200
86-11	584	142
86-12	548	77
86-13	840	126
86-14	1,020	117
<b>86-J</b> 1	973	120
86-MB1	421	122
86-MB2	665	87
86-MB3	438	85



# **PROGRESS:** Pd-Alloy Membranes

### Typical Performance and Performance Targets from Economic Analysis

Pa-Alloy Single Tube Characterization Overview						
Pd-Alloy Membrane Characteristic	Preliminary Target to Achieve DOE Goals <sup>1</sup>	Laboratory Single Tubes Performance				
Permeance, H <sub>2</sub> [GPU] @ <b>350°C, 20 psig</b>	3,470	1,750 to >5,500				
Selectivity, H <sub>2</sub> /X						
$H_2/N_2$	300	300 to >3,000				
H <sub>2</sub> /CO	300	300 to >3,000				
$H_2/CO_2$	300	300 to >3,000				
$H_2/H_2S$	N/A <sup>2</sup>	NA <sup>2</sup>				
H <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	300	300 to >3,000				



#### **Pd-Alloy Comments**

- 1. Pd-Cu offers thermal cycling stability and low temperature operational capability (>200°C).
- 2. Pd-Ag offers higher flux and selectivity but higher minimum operating temperature (>300°C)

#### Notes:

- 1. Target performance is that required to achieve 90%  $CO_2$  capture at 95% purity with 95% fuel utilization (H<sub>2</sub> + CO to the turbine).
- 2. Feed gas to the Pd-alloy membrane has been pretreated to remove residual sulfur species in the CGCU.



# PROGRESS: CMS Membrane Stability

Key Technical Hurdles Focused on Long Term Stability (CMS Membrane)

CMS 86 - Tube Bundle Long Term Stability (>16,000 hrs)





# PROGRESS: CMS Membrane Stability

Key Technical Hurdles Focused on Long Term Stability (CMS Membrane)





# PROGRESS: Pd Membrane Stability

Key Technical Hurdles Focused on Long Term Stability (Pd-alloy)

Pd-Alloy Pd-Ag (80/20) Long Term Stability (~24,000 hours)





# PROGRESS: CMS Membrane Bundle Stability

#### Testing Parameters

<u>Membrane</u> 86-tube CMS

Operating Conditions T~ 250 to 300°C P~ 150 to 300 psig

<u>Pretreatment</u> Particulate trap only, no other gas cleanup.

 $\frac{Composition}{H_2 \sim 10 \text{ to } 30\%}$ CO ~ 10%
CO<sub>2</sub> ~10%
N<sub>2</sub>,H<sub>2</sub>O ~Balance

<u>Trace Contaminants</u>  $NH_3 \sim 1,000ppm$ Sulfur Species ~ 1,000ppm HCl, HCN,Naphthalenes/Tars, etc.

## NCCC Testing: CMS Membranes Highly Stable in Coal Gasifier Syngas

NCCC Slip Stream Testing: No gasifier off-gas pretreatment



Performance stability of multiple tube CMS membrane bundles during  $H_2$  recovery from NCCC slip stream testing. He and  $N_2$  Permeances 18 measured periodically during >400 hr test.



1. (

"<u>on</u> dete

reje unit

2.

vari <u>day</u>

*3*.

acci com feed

# **PROGRESS:** Membrane Performance Modeling

NCCC Testing: Improve Prediction of Membrane Performance

In-situ real time water composition analysis required Added water capture units prior to recent NCCC testing round.

Results		NCCC	d Syngas Water Content		MPT Water Collection Units				NCCC GC
		Determined					MPT	NCCC/MPT	Dry Gas
		Raw Syngas					Calculated	Water	Mass
ood agreement with NCCC		Water			%	%	%	Closure	Closure
<u>ce per day</u> " water content	Time	%	WGS In	WGS Out	Perm	Reject	WGS Out	[%]	[%]
rminations using our new			22.224	17.00				(00 =0/	
et and normoato water canture		1.0	22.3%	15.2%	51.8%	5.1%	11.%	136.7%	101.8%
t una permeate water capture	Day 1	6.2	16.2% 🔇	8.5%	39.5%	5.7%	8.8%	103.975	105.1%
S.			12.3%	4.3%	23.5%	3.6%	5.2%	123.3%	102.0%
			12.3%	4.3%	16.1%	3.6%	4.5%	106.3%	102.0%
- batantial water content			10.5%	6.6%	36.7%	2.2%	5.1%	77.5%	107.1%
ubsianilai water content	Day 2	8.4	10.6%	6.7%	23.2%	5.3%	6.5%	96.4%	101.7%
ability outside this " <u>once per</u>			10.4%	6.4%	22.6%	9.1%	9.9%	154.4X	101.6%
' window.			10.5%	6.5%	28.6%	6.5%	7.9%	120.5 🔆	101.6%
			10.4%	6.6%	27.3%	6.2%	7.4%	112.1X	101.7%
			10.5%	6.6%	23.3%	7.0%	7.9%	119.6%	101.2%
ve now can determine	Day 3	8.1	7.5%	2.5%	19.9%	5.5%	6.6%	267.2%	99.5%
irate real time water			7.5%	2.6%	37.2%	13.3%	15.1%	581.8% <sup>X</sup>	108.2%
position in the membrane	Day 4	5.3	5.0%	1.7%	23.5%	0.2%	1.6%	98.4%	102.3%
			5.0%	1.7%	13.6%	0.9%	1.5%	91.7% 🎽	102.3%
	Day 5	8.0	7.4%	2.7%	31.1%	0.6%	2.6%	98.5%	103.0%



NCCC Testing: DCT-Style 57-tube CMS Membrane Bundle

**Operating Conditions and Flow Rates** 



Syngas Run Time [hrs]



NCCC Testing: DCT-Style 57-tube CMS Membrane Bundle

### Feed, Permeate and Reject H<sub>2</sub> Composition





## NCCC Testing: DCT-Style 57-tube CMS Membrane Bundle

### Verification of the Mathematical Model in Actual Gas Testing at the NCCC Permeate Flow Rate: Predicted versus Actual





NCCC Testing: DCT-Style 57-tube CMS Membrane Bundle

## Verification of the Mathematical Model in Actual Gas Testing at the NCCC Permeate H<sub>2</sub> Content: Predicted versus Actual





*Effect of <u>Total Gas Feed Rate</u> on Membrane Performance with Baffles Ratio of Actual to Predicted Permeate Rates* 





# **PROGRESS:** Techno-economic Analysis

## **Process Flow Diagram**





# PROGRESS: Techno-economic Analysis

## **Process Performance and Economics**

Parameter	Case B5B*	Case MPT	Target	MPT vs B5B
Carbon Capture	90.0%	90.72%	90%	
CO <sub>2</sub> Purity	99.48%	93.4%	95%	
H <sub>2</sub> in Fuel	99.98%	98.72%	NA	
Net Power Production, MW	543	553	N/A	+1.8%
Cost of CO <sub>2</sub> Captured [\$/tonne]	63.1	62.0	N/A	-1.7%
Cost of CO <sub>2</sub> Avoided [\$/tonne]	91.6	87.8	N/A	-4.1%
COE no T&S [\$/MWh]	135.4	134.0	N/A	-1.1%
Total as-spent Cost [\$/kW]	4,782	4,639	N/A	-3.0%

\* Cost and Performance Baseline for Fossil Energy Plants. Volume 1b. Revision 2b, July 2015. DOE/NETL02015/1727



## Final Remaining Technical Issues

- Complete Bench Scale Field Testing at the NCCC with DCT-style bundle with updated flow distribution/baffles and model verification
- > Conduct Bench Scale Field Testing at the NCCC with Pd-alloy bundle
- Conduct high pressure mixed gas H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> performance testing with Pd-alloy membrane
- Conduct Sensitivity Analyses on the Process Design and Economics (Impact of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, and other slow gas selectivity; Impact of WGS Operating Temperature; Introduction of RTI warm gas cleanup for H<sub>2</sub>S removal)
- > Complete the Environmental, Health, and Safety Evaluation



# Summary and Conclusions

## Key Findings to Date

- Database updates show that the capabilities of our CMS and Pd-alloy membranes meet or exceed the performance targets required to deliver the DOE CCS goals.
- The CMS (250°C) and Pd-alloy (350°C) membrane tubes and bundles (full ceramic) have been demonstrated to be stable in thousands of hours of thermal stability testing.
- The CMS membrane has been shown to be stable in various tests for hundreds of hours of exposure to synthetic and actual coal gasifier syngas with only particulate pretreatment.
- Extreme pressures to >1,000psig can be achieved with our DCT-style bundles making them suitable for the proposed IGCC with  $CO_2$  capture environment.
- Modeling has been successfully used to predict membrane performance at the NCCC.
- The proposed membrane based IGCC with carbon capture process achieves the 90%  $CO_2$  capture target at 93.7% purity, just under the 95% purity target. Sensitivity analysis is underway on the  $H_2/CO_2$  selectivity to establish the minimum target.
- Net power production for the proposed process is 553MW, 1.8% above the NETL base case.
- Total capital cost for the proposed process is \$32MM (3%) below the NETL base case.



## END